

Crustal and Upper Mantle Structures of the Red Sea and Arabian Shield

Abdullah M. Alamri

Dept. Of Geology & Geophysics, King Saud Univ. Riyadh, Saudi Arabia



© 2002, Dan Russell

ARABIAN PLATE BOUNDARIES

- 1. <u>ACTIVE SEA-FLOOR SPREADIG</u>: Along the axial troughs of the Red Sea and Gulf of Aden.
- 2. <u>DEAD SEA FAULT SYSTEM</u>: Predominantly left lateral transform fault which defines the NW boundary of the Arabian plate.
- 3. <u>TAURUS-ZAGROS THRUST BELT</u>: As result of continental collision associated with the NE boundary between the Arabian plate and the Persian and Turkish plates.
- 4. <u>MAKRAN BELT</u>: In the Gulf of OMAN. The Arabian plate is subducting beneath the Makran region of Pakistan and Iran, Thus marking the Eastern plate boundary.
- 5. <u>THE OWEN FRACTURE ZONE:</u> Relativity active transform fault forms the SE boundary of the plate.



Figure 1: The Arabian Peninsula, with Precambrian terranes in the Arabian Shield area of western Saudi Arabia and the Infra-Cambrian sinistral Najd tectonic event (600-530 Ma) (Brown and Jackson, 1960; Moore, 1979) with its Mesozoic and Tertiary reactivations (Bott et al., 1992; Richardson et al., 1995). Microplate boundaries based on Stoesser and Camp (1985).



Figure 3: Simplified geologic sketch map of the Arabian Shield showing the terranes and their boundaries, and the main Pan-African structural features and sedimentary basins. Major fault zones, such as Ruwah, Ar Rikah, Halaban, and Qazaz, belong to the Najd fault system.

Large-scale geologic framework

Major features are the Arabian Shield and Arabian Platform

Sediments thicken from the Shield-Platform boundary toward the Zagros thrust





Earthquakes define plate boundaries, Shield is penetrated by volcanics

Volcanic centers penetrate the Arabian Shield along the Makkah-Medina-Nafud (MMN) line



Lithospheric Structures of the Arabian Peninsula

THE PROBLEM

- 1. The Saudi Seismic Networks are locating earthquakes using automatic selection and global average velocity models.
- 2. Previous seismic studies indicate structural differences between the Arabian shield and platform that lead to more questions about the source of weak body-wave amplitudes.

OBJECTIVES OBJECTIVES

Determination of Crustal and Lithospheric Mantle Structure under the Arabian Shield and Red Sea by applying an improved method for inverting receiver functions and shear wave group velocity (SWGV) measurements.

METHODS

- Teleseismic P- and S-wave travel time tomography;
 Teleseismic receiver functions for crustal structure;
 Teleseismic receiver functions for upper mantle discontinuity structure;
 Teleseismic shear-wave splitting;
 Regional and far-regional surface waveform
 - modeling.

Together these analyses result in a unified model of the structure and physical state of the lithosphere beneath the Arabian Shield and Red Sea.

The dense station spacing and excellent quality of data allow for very detailed resolution of structure.

Tectonic questions worthy of investigation

- What is the crustal structure across the Arabian Peninsula?
- How is the lithospheric structure impacting by Red Sea spreading?
 - Is there a lithospheric signature of active or passive spreading?
- How are the Harrats connected with deeper structure in the upper mantle?



Figure 13. Comparison of SANDSN (stars) and global network locations (other symbols) for the Zagros Mountains region. The color of the symbols for global network locations are scaled by the origin time difference.



Figure 11. Comparison of SANDSN (stars) and global network locations (other symbols) for the Dead Sea/Gulf of Aqaba region. The color of the symbols for global network locations are scaled by the origin time difference.

Map of the sediment thickness of the Arabian Plate

Sediment Thickness (km)



Crustal Structure in the Northern Arabian Platform



st = sediment thicknessct = crustal thickness

- ³⁶ Crystalline crustal thickness (ct-st) is relatively constant in the northern Arabian
 ³⁶ Distant at about 20, 27 last
- ³⁴ Platform at about 36-37 km.

 This suggests the proto ³² Platform had relatively uniform crustal structure
 ³⁰ before sediments were deposited. The distribution of earthquakes for (a) P wave (3416 rays from 401 events) and (b) S wave (1602 rays from 201 events). The colors scale indicates magnitude of each event, the red solid lines show plate boundaries, and each circle represents 30 degree distance interval from the center of **KACST** seismic array.



Waveform Modeling for Structure of the Arabian Peninsula



Depth-Mechanism-Misfit Curve Waveform modeling to estimate earthquake 1.0 EVENT: 1996/307 moment, depth and best double-couple focal 0.9 Stations: SODA HALM Period Range: 35 - 80 seconds (data-synthetic) 0.8mechanism for the Red Sea event (1996/307) 5.30 0.7- \sim 5.35 0.6 5.36 **Event Parameters** 0.5 Mw=5.55 7 5.40 strike dip rake depth Mw Scaled Misfit moment 0.4-(deg) (deg) (deg) (km)(dyne-cm) 0.3 1.41e24 150 16 -72 10 5.4 (LLNL solution) 20 -68 15 153 0.99e24 5.3 (Harvard CMT) 0.2 minimum 0.1 misfit 0.0 10 15 20 25 30 0 5 Depth (km) SODA HALM $\Delta = 346 \text{ km}$ $\Delta = 662 \text{ km}$ vertical data radial synthetic transverse Time ofter event, seconds lime offer event, second

Surface Wave Group Velocities Reveal Significant Differences Between the Lithospheric Velocities of the Arabian Shield and Platform



We modeled the group velocities to obtain starting models for waveform modeling.

The observed waveforms are more sensitive to lithospheric velocity structure than the surface wave group velocities.













Figure 23. Rayleigh wave group velocities at 20 seconds for the Arabian Peninsula, African Rift and surrounding regions.



Seismic P- and Swave velocity models, solid and dashed respectively, for the Arabian Peninsula from various sources.

Seismic Velocity Model for the Gulf of Aqabah/Dead Sea Region

Depth (km)	Thickness (km)	V _P (km/s)	V _S (km/s)
0	2	4.5	2.6
2	5	5.5	3.18
7	10	6.1	3.52
17	11	6.2	3.6
28	∞	7.8	4.37

Seismic Velocity Model for the Arabian Shield Region

Depth (km)	Thickness (km)	V _P (km/s)	V _S (km/s)
0	1	4	2.31
1	15	6.2	3.58
16	20	6.8	3.93
36	×	7.9	4.3

Seismic Velocity Model for the Arabian Platform Region

Depth (Km)	Thickness (km)	V _P (km/s)	V _S (km/s)
0	4	4	2.31
4	16	6.2	3.64
20	20	6.4	3.7
40	œ	8.1	4.55



splitting SKS for parameters KACST stations. several Red crosses indicate station locations few SKS splitting with too to determine parameters а meaningful average. The direction of the black bar indicates the direction average of fast polarization and its length is scaled by the delay time between the fast and slow waves. Most stations east of the Red Sea Rift show northwesterly consistent fast directions with some complications arising in the vicinity of the Dead Sea.

Hemispherical plots for two KACST stations: Gulf of Aqabah station TAYS and Arabian Shield station NAMS. Each line displays the splitting parameters for one event, where the line is oriented in the fast polarization direction (φ) and is scaled to the delay time (δ t). Black lines correspond to SKS phases, red lines correspond to SKKS phases, and blue lines correspond to S phases.



Shear-Wave Splitting Results

Average splitting is remarkably uniform

Generally north-south fast direction, ~ 1.4 sec

Consistent with Wolfe et al. (1997) for PASSCAL array



Forward modeling of velocity and anisotropy structure in the mantle upper Love from and Rayleigh wave group dispersion for NAMS station. The model shows the final fit to the broad data. A transverse isotropy coinciding with the low velocity in the zone mantle is required with Vsv exceeding Vsh by **6%**.



1D Anisotropic Models from Rayleigh and Love Phase Velocities



Red Sea Paths Require Anisotropy

Waveforms for the April 11, 1994 Afar earthquake recorded at station KEG (Kottamya, Egypt, $\Delta = 20.8^{\circ}$) reveal anisotropy in the upper mantle. SH and SV cannot be fit with the same isotropic model. These data are fit (upper right) with an anisotropic model (lower right) with SH about 4% faster than SV. This is consistent with the fast axis of anisotropy aligned with the spreading direction.



Courtesy of Sara Russell, UC Santa Cruz



P- and S-wave travel time tomography resolution tests



Upper mantle tomography results from P- and S-wave travel time



Low velocities underlie the southern Red Sea and Harrats (A)

P- and S-wave travel time tomography









-5





(e)

300 km

0

200 km (d)





S-wave % velocity anomaly

Figure 10.

B: (15.00N, 43.20E)

33. 35. 37. 39. 41. 43. 45. 47. 49. 51.

Longitude

B': (30.00N, 40.00E)

P-wave % velocity anomaly

Figure 9

33. 35. 37.

B: (15.00N, 43.20E)

(g)

39. 41. 43.

Longitude

45. 47. 49. 51.

B': (30.00N, 40.00E)

R 0

100.E

200

300.

Rayleigh Wave
Tomography301025Modified array approach of
Lawrence et al. (2008)
Ray paths at 52 s301544 rays1567 rays1544 rays30

Ethiopian PASSCAL

Ray paths at 100 s





Ray paths at 140 s





LAB from SRF's and shear velocities form Rayleigh wave tomography А E3E Southern (a) 2.7 **Moho Depth** Depth (km) 001 3.26 3.12 SRF LAB Depth 200 200 400 800 1200 600 1000 0 Distance from the Red Sea Rift (km) B Northern <u>ع 3</u> (b) 0 Moho Depth Depth (km) 001 **SRF LAB Depth** 75 km Depth 30 200 600 200 400 800 1000 0 25 Distance from the Red Sea Rift (km) Estimate of lithospheic thickness from this study 20 Estimate of lithospheic thickness from Hansen et al. 2007 15 4.2 4.8 5.0 3.8 4.0 4.4 4.6 35 40 45 50 Shear Wave Velocity (km/sec)

S-Velocity (km/s) S-Velocity (km/s) S-Velocity (km/s) 3.00 4.00 3.00 4.00 4.00 3.00 <u>_____</u>_____ -10.00 (k-20.00 +10-30.00 -40.00 -50.00 HILS 30/30/30 **TBKS** 15/1 HAQS 19/19/17 \dots -10.00 (k-20.00 -30.00 -40.00 -50.00 KBRS 12/12/12 AFFS 13/13/13 TATS 12/13/13 -10.00 E-20.00 De ptp - 30.00

HASS 30/30/27

-50.00

The final shear velocity model inverted from receiver function. The model compares stations used on this study. This figure shows the total receiver function used for the inversion.

S-wave RF's constrain LAB (lithosphereasthenosphere boundary) depth



Shallow (40-60 km) LAB along Red Sea coast and Gulf of Aqaba Thickens (80-120 km) toward interior of Shield Step (20-40 km) across the Shield-Platform boundary

Modeling SRF's provides Moho and LAB depth and uncertainties



Uncertainties in estimated Moho and LAB depths were inferred from modeling observed SRF's and finding the range of model parameters that provide an adequate fit.

Inferred lithospheric cross-section predicts gravity



Observed gravity data taken from GRACE satellite



Vector examination of plate motion (red arrow) coupled with channelized upwelling flow (blue arrow) beneath Saudi Arabia. If we estimate that absolute plate motion is oriented N40E at a rate of 22 mm/yr and that channelized hotspot flow is oriented approximately N30W, then the rate of hotspot flow needed to obtain a north-south resultant (black dashed arrow) is ~27 mm/yr.

Summary of upper mantle structure of the Arabian Peninsula

- Arabian shield is characterized with
 - Thinned mantle lithosphere, with thinnest lithosphere under the Red Sea (depth ~ 60 km) and thickest lithosphere (depth ~ 120 km) beneath the central Shield
 - Low seismic velocities (-3% for S-waves) in 200-400 km depth range
 - Uniform shear-wave splitting
- Red Sea margin has very low shear velocities (~ 4.0 km/s) in the shallow upper mantle (100-250 km)
- Arabian Platform is characterized by
 - Thick mantle lithosphere (depth ~ 140 km)
 - High seismic velocities (+3% for S-waves) in 200-400 km depth range

Interpretation of upper mantle structure

- Lower velocities, probably related to higher than average temperatures are observed beneath the Arabian Shield
 - Especially in the southern Asir Province adjacent to the Red Sea
 - Low velocities could be due to temperature variations of up to 330K
 - Low velocities likely caused Cenozoic uplift and Harrat volcanism
- Higher velocities, probably related to lower than average temperatures are observed beneath the Arabian Platform
 - Resolution is poor in the Arabian Platform due to many fewer seismic stations, but inferred velocities more normal for stable continent
- Low velocity anomaly is present beneath the Arabian Shield
 - Depth range 200-400 km is resolved
 - Rayleigh wave tomography complements body-wave travel time tomography, with improved resolution in shallow (< 200 km) mantle

Further interpretations

- Red Sea rifting and current spreading caused mantle lithosphere to thin from the central Red Sea to the Arabian Shield
 - Current morphology consistent with active rifting
- Low velocities beneath the Arabian Shield are likely due to a single massive upwelling
 - This likely connects with the low velocity anomaly extended from the core-mantle boundary to the East African Rift and Afar hot spot

Lithospheric structure supports active rifting mechanism (currently)



We observe lithospheric thickening that is symmetric about rift axis, consistent with active mechanism.

Geologic evidence indicates that rifting was initiated by passive mechanism.

We conclude Red Sea rifting has two-stages: initiated passively, then maintained actively.

Relationship between Afar Hot Spot upwelling, Rea Sea and Arabia

1 sec

50 km

00 km

Upwelling penetrates transition zone beneath Afar, is channeled by southern Red Sea lithospheric structure (b) and spreads across Arabian Shield at shallow mantle depths

(a)

35

30

25

20

15

10

Northerly flow is consistent with shear wave splitting